

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                     | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/635,268                          | 08/07/2003      | He Huang             | C-2940              | 8434             |
| 7                                   | 7590 11/02/2005 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| William W. Jones                    |                 |                      | NGUYEN, CAM N       |                  |
| 6 Juniper Lane<br>Madison, CT 06443 |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| , ,                                 |                 |                      | 1754                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summers   | 10/635,268   | HUANG ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Cam N. Nguyen  | 1754  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence address                                |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirm  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  e. cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 A   | August 2003.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This  | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa  | ince except for formal matters, pro  | osecution as to the merits is                         |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under I  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4   | 53 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application  | l.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | or election requirement.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 August 2003</u> is/are:  | a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected   | to by the Examiner.                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |  | • •   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | xaminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.                               |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a   | )-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document  |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                 |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio   |  | ed in this National Stage                             |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Burea  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | of the certified copies not receive  | ed.   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5) Notice of Informal P<br>6) Other:  | ate<br>atent Application (PTO-152)                    |  |  |  |  |
|   | · — —  |   |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1754

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election <u>without traverse</u> of Group I, claims 1-10, in the reply filed on September 21, 2005 is acknowledged.

# Response to Amendment

2. Applicants' amendment/response, filed September 21, 2005, has been made of record and entered. The nonelected claims 11-16 have been canceled.

Claims 1-10 are currently pending in the application and under consideration.

# **Claim Objections**

- 3. Claims 1 & 9 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- A. In claim 1, line 7, --a—should be inserted before "surface area".
- B. In claim 1, last line, "greater than about fifty square meters per gram" should be changed to --greater than about 50 m<sup>2</sup>/g--.
- C. In claim 9, last line, same as in B.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(a)

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/635,268 Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

5. Claims 1-5 & 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Reesink et al., "hereinafter Reesink", (US Pat. 6,524,994 B1).

Reesink discloses a nickel catalyst comprising at least one structural promoter selected from the group consisting of oxides of metals, metalloids, and combinations thereof, etc., wherein the catalyst having a nickel surface area of at least 10 m<sup>2</sup>/g, etc. (see col. 4- col. 5, claim 1). Suitable structural promoters including alumina (see col. 5, claims 2 & 3). The nickel surface area is between 10 and 65 m<sup>2</sup>/g (see col. 5, claim 9).

No patentable distinction is seen between the claimed sulfur scrubber assembly and the catalyst disclosed by Reesink. Thus, the claims are anticipated by the reference.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(e)

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-5 & 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Park (US Pat. 6,706,660 B2).

Park discloses a lean NOx catalyst comprising: a substrate; an oxide support material deposited on the substrate; a dopant selected from a group including Ni which is introduced into the oxide support material deposited on the substrate, etc. (see col. 17- col. 18, claim 1). The substrate us a ceramic honeycomb substrate including at least one of cordierite, alumina and titania (see col. 18, claims 2 & 4).

With respect to the claimed "nickel reactant surface area", it is considered the catalyst of Park also possesses the same surface area in view of the same catalytic metal and support material disclosed.

No patentable distinction is seen between the claimed sulfur scrubber assembly and the catalyst disclosed by Park. Thus, the claims are anticipated by the reference.

8. Claims 1-5, 7, & 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dindi et al., "hereinafter Dindi", (US Pat. 6,733,692 B2).

Dindi discloses a catalyst which includes at least a catalytically active metal. The metals can be supported or unsupported. Suitable metals selected from a group including Ni, and suitable supports selected from a group including Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> foam support. See col. 4, In 22-32.

With respect to the claimed "nickel reactant surface area", it is considered the catalyst of Dindi also possesses the same surface area in view of the same catalytic metal and support material disclosed.

No patentable distinction is seen between the claimed sulfur scrubber assembly and the catalyst disclosed by Dindi. Thus, the claims are anticipated by the reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,268 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reesink et al., "hereinafter Reesink", (US Pat. 6,524,994 B1), Park (US Pat. 6,706,660 B2), Dindi et al., "hereinafter Dindi", (US Pat. 6,733,692 B2), as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Giraitis et al., "hereinafter Giraitis", (US Pat. 3,162,606).

Reesink, Park, and Dindi disclose catalysts as described above, except for the support structure being a pellet bed.

It would have been *prima facie obvious* to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have employed such known pellet structure support in Reesink, Park, and Dindi because it is known as useful catalyst support, as evidenced by Giraitis (see Giraitis at col. 2, In 12).

#### Citations

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. All references are cited for related art. See PTO-892 Form prepared attached.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,268

Art Unit: 1754

# Conclusion

Page 6

12. Claims 1-10 are pending. Claims 1-10 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Cam N Nguyen, whose telephone number is 571-272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, R, & F, 9:00 AM - 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen/cnn CMV October 28, 2005

Art Unit - 1754